



Apache Junction, AZ

Dashboard Summary of Findings

2020



2955 Valmont Road Suite 300
Boulder, Colorado 80301
n-r-c.com • 303-444-7863



Leaders at the Core of Better Communities

777 North Capitol Street NE Suite 500
Washington, DC 20002
icma.org • 800-745-8780

Summary

The National Community Survey™ (The NCS™) is a collaborative effort between National Research Center, Inc. (NRC) and the International City/County Management Association (ICMA). The survey and its administration are standardized to assure high quality research methods and directly comparable results across The NCS communities. The NCS captures residents’ opinions within the three pillars of a community (Community Characteristics, Governance and Participation) across eight central facets of community (Safety, Mobility, Natural Environment, Built Environment, Economy, Recreation and Wellness, Education and Enrichment and Community Engagement). This report summarizes Apache Junction’s performance in the eight facets of community livability with the “General” rating as a summary of results from the overarching questions not shown within any of the eight facets. The “Overall” represents the community pillar in its entirety (the eight facets and general).

By summarizing resident ratings across the eight facets and three pillars of a livable community, a picture of Apache Junction’s community livability emerges. Below, the color of each community facet summarizes how residents rated each of the pillars that support it – Community Characteristics, Governance and Participation. When most ratings were higher than the benchmark, the color is the darkest shade; when most ratings were lower than the benchmark, the color is the lightest shade. A mix of ratings (higher and lower than the benchmark) results in a color between the extremes. This information can be helpful in identifying the areas that merit more attention.

Broadly, ratings for most aspects of community livability were similar to the national benchmarks. In Community Characteristics, ratings tended to be lower than average for Education and Enrichment and Community Engagement, as well as general aspects. In Governance, ratings within Natural Environment, Built Environment and Economy tended to be lower than those given elsewhere. Levels of participation in the facet of Education and Enrichment were also below average.

Table 1: Dashboard Summary

| | Community Characteristics | | | Governance | | | Participation | | |
|--------------------------|---------------------------|---------|-------|------------|---------|-------|---------------|---------|-------|
| | Higher | Similar | Lower | Higher | Similar | Lower | Higher | Similar | Lower |
| Overall | 1 | 29 | 20 | 0 | 26 | 11 | 0 | 24 | 10 |
| General | 0 | 3 | 4 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 |
| Safety | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 |
| Mobility | 1 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| Natural Environment | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 0 |
| Built Environment | 0 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| Economy | 0 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 1 |
| Recreation and Wellness | 0 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 2 |
| Education and Enrichment | 0 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| Community Engagement | 0 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 3 |

| National Benchmark | |
|--------------------|---------|
| Higher | Higher |
| Similar | Similar |
| Lower | Lower |

The National Community Survey™

Figure 1: Detailed Dashboard

| | Community Characteristics | Trend | Benchmark | Percent positive | Governance | Trend | Benchmark | Percent positive | Participation | Trend | Benchmark | Percent positive |
|---------------------|------------------------------------|-------|-----------|------------------|---|-------|-----------|------------------|--------------------------------------|-------|-----------|------------------|
| General | Overall appearance | ↔ | ↓ | 45% | Customer service | ↔ | ↔ | 63% | Recommend Apache Junction | ↔ | ↔ | 83% |
| | Overall quality of life | ↔ | ↔ | 70% | Services provided by Apache Junction | ↔ | ↔ | 61% | Remain in Apache Junction | ↔ | ↔ | 86% |
| | Place to retire | ↔ | ↔ | 77% | Services provided by the Federal Government | ↔ | ↔ | 31% | Contacted Apache Junction employees | ↔ | ↔ | 37% |
| | Place to raise children | ↔ | ↓↓ | 43% | | | | | | | | |
| | Place to live | ↔ | ↓ | 74% | | | | | | | | |
| | Neighborhood | ↔ | ↔ | 77% | | | | | | | | |
| | Overall image | ↔ | ↓↓ | 34% | | | | | | | | |
| Safety | Overall feeling of safety | ↔ | ↓ | 65% | Police | ↔ | ↔ | 77% | Was NOT the victim of a crime | ↔ | ↔ | 85% |
| | Safe in neighborhood | ↔ | ↔ | 93% | Crime prevention | ↔ | ↔ | 66% | Did NOT report a crime | ↔ | ↔ | 74% |
| | Safe downtown/commercial area | ↔ | ↔ | 84% | Emergency preparedness | ↔ | ↓ | 39% | Stocked supplies for an emergency | ↔ | ↔ | 28% |
| | | | | | Animal control | ↓ | ↔ | 50% | | | | |
| Mobility | Traffic flow | ↔ | ↔ | 61% | Traffic enforcement | ↔ | ↔ | 65% | Carpooled instead of driving alone | ↔ | ↔ | 37% |
| | Travel by car | ↔ | ↔ | 79% | Street repair | ↔ | ↔ | 39% | Walked or biked instead of driving | ↓ | ↓↓ | 34% |
| | Travel by bicycle | ↔ | ↔ | 47% | Street cleaning | ↔ | ↓ | 49% | | | | |
| | Ease of walking | ↔ | ↔ | 49% | Street lighting | ↔ | ↓ | 36% | | | | |
| | Overall ease travel | ↔ | ↔ | 80% | Sidewalk maintenance | ↔ | ↔ | 42% | | | | |
| | Public parking | ↔ | ↑ | 70% | Traffic signal timing | ↔ | ↔ | 41% | | | | |
| | Paths and walking trails | ↔ | ↔ | 47% | | | | | | | | |
| Natural Environment | Overall natural environment | ↔ | ↔ | 72% | Garbage collection | ↓ | ↓ | 65% | Recycled at home | ↔ | ↔ | 87% |
| | Air quality | ↔ | ↔ | 63% | Recycling | ↓ | ↓ | 54% | Conserved water | ↔ | ↔ | 85% |
| | Cleanliness | ↔ | ↓ | 49% | Drinking water | ↔ | ↓↓ | 32% | | | | |
| | | | | | Open space | ↓ | ↔ | 51% | | | | |
| Built Environment | New development in Apache Junction | ↓ | ↔ | 42% | Sewer services | ↔ | ↔ | 58% | NOT experiencing housing cost stress | ↔ | ↔ | 70% |
| | Affordable quality housing | ↔ | ↔ | 43% | Storm drainage | ↓ | ↓↓ | 31% | Did NOT observe a code violation | ↔ | ↓↓ | 34% |
| | Housing options | ↔ | ↔ | 45% | Land use, planning and zoning | ↔ | ↔ | 37% | | | | |
| | Overall built environment | ↔ | ↓ | 41% | Code enforcement | ↓ | ↓ | 22% | | | | |
| | Public places | ↔ | ↓ | 49% | Cable television | ↔ | ↓ | 30% | | | | |

Legend

↑↑ Much higher ↑ Higher ↔ Similar ↓ Lower ↓↓ Much lower * Not available

The National Community Survey™

| | Community Characteristics | Trend | Benchmark | Percent positive | Governance | Trend | Benchmark | Percent positive | Participation | Trend | Benchmark | Percent positive |
|--------------------------|---|-------|-----------|------------------|--|-------|-----------|-----------------------------|--|-------|-----------|------------------|
| Economy | Overall economic health | ↔ | ↓ | 40% | Economic development | ↔ | ↓ | 36% | Economy will have positive impact on income | ↔ | ↔ | 39% |
| | Shopping opportunities | ↔ | ↔ | 45% | | | | | Purchased goods or services in Apache Junction | ↔ | ↔ | 95% |
| | Employment opportunities | ↔ | ↓ | 18% | | | | | Work in Apache Junction | ↔ | ↓ | 22% |
| | Place to visit | ↔ | ↔ | 64% | | | | | | | | |
| | Cost of living | ↔ | ↔ | 51% | | | | | | | | |
| | Vibrant downtown/commercial area | ↔ | ↓ | 30% | | | | | | | | |
| | Place to work | ↔ | ↓ | 35% | | | | | | | | |
| Business and services | ↔ | ↔ | 51% | | | | | | | | | |
| Recreation and Wellness | Fitness opportunities | ↔ | ↔ | 62% | City parks | ↔ | ↔ | 70% | In very good to excellent health | ↔ | ↔ | 48% |
| | Recreational opportunities | ↔ | ↔ | 60% | Recreation centers | ↔ | ↔ | 67% | Used Apache Junction recreation centers | ↓ | ↓↓ | 36% |
| | Health care | ↔ | ↔ | 55% | Recreation programs | ↔ | ↔ | 66% | Visited a City park | ↔ | ↓↓ | 61% |
| | Food | ↔ | ↔ | 63% | Health services | ↔ | ↓ | 52% | Ate 5 portions of fruits and vegetables | ↔ | ↔ | 73% |
| | Mental health care | ↔ | ↔ | 37% | | | | | Participated in moderate or vigorous physical activity | ↔ | ↔ | 80% |
| | Health and wellness | ↔ | ↓ | 53% | | | | | | | | |
| | Preventive health services | ↔ | ↔ | 51% | | | | | | | | |
| Education and Enrichment | K-12 education | ↑ | ↓↓ | 38% | Public libraries | ↔ | ↔ | 82% | Used Apache Junction public libraries | ↓ | ↓ | 51% |
| | Cultural/arts/music activities | ↔ | ↓ | 43% | Special events | ↔ | ↔ | 54% | Attended a City-sponsored event | ↔ | ↓ | 37% |
| | Child care/preschool | ↔ | ↓ | 30% | | | | | | | | |
| | Adult education | ↓ | ↔ | 43% | | | | | | | | |
| | Overall education and enrichment | ↔ | ↓ | 40% | | | | | | | | |
| Community Engagement | Opportunities to participate in community matters | ↓ | ↓ | 45% | Public information | ↔ | ↔ | 58% | Sense of community | ↔ | ↔ | 49% |
| | Opportunities to volunteer | ↔ | ↔ | 58% | Overall direction | ↔ | ↔ | 49% | Voted in local elections | ↔ | ↓ | 73% |
| | Openness and acceptance | ↔ | ↓ | 43% | Value of services for taxes paid | ↔ | ↔ | 39% | Talked to or visited with neighbors | ↔ | ↔ | 92% |
| | Social events and activities | ↔ | ↔ | 49% | Welcoming resident involvement | ↓ | ↔ | 37% | Attended a local public meeting | ↔ | ↓ | 11% |
| | Neighborliness | ↔ | ↓ | 45% | Confidence in City government | ↔ | ↔ | 41% | Watched a local public meeting | ↔ | ↔ | 13% |
| | | | | | Acting in the best interest of Apache Junction | ↔ | ↔ | 41% | Volunteered | ↔ | ↓ | 25% |
| | | | | | Being honest | ↔ | ↔ | 44% | Participated in a club | ↔ | ↔ | 19% |
| | | | | | Treating all residents fairly | ↔ | ↔ | 44% | Campaigned for an issue, cause or candidate | ↔ | ↔ | 15% |
| | | | | | | | | | Contacted Apache Junction elected officials | ↔ | ↔ | 15% |
| | | | | | | | | | Read or watched local news | ↔ | ↔ | 81% |
| | | | | | | | | Done a favor for a neighbor | ↔ | ↔ | 85% | |

Legend

↑↑ Much higher ↑ Higher ↔ Similar ↓ Lower ↓↓ Much lower * Not available